#### Quantum Query Complexity

PCMI Graduate Summer School 2023 Instructor: Yassine Hamoudi. Teaching assistant: Angelos Pelecanos. Course page: https://yassine-hamoudi.github.io/pcmi2023/

### Problem Session 1

Basics of query complexity & The hybrid method

## Problem 1 (Miscellaneous)

Question 1. Define  $R_{\epsilon}(f)$  (resp.  $Q_{\epsilon}(f)$ ) to be the smallest number of queries that a randomized (resp. quantum) algorithm has to do to be correct with probability at least  $1 - \epsilon$  on all inputs. Show that  $R_{\epsilon}(f) \leq O(R(f)\log(1/\epsilon))$  and  $Q_{\epsilon}(f) \leq O(Q(f)\log(1/\epsilon))$ .

Question 2. Propose a way of extending the quantum query model to inputs  $x \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}^n$ over a larger alphabet of size m > 2.

# Problem 2 (Parity)

This problem studies the quantum query complexity of the PARITY function. One may use the Hadamard transform H defined as  $H|b\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + (-1)^b|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$  for  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ .

**Question 1.** Define the *phase query* operator as the unitary  $O_x^{\pm}$  such that  $O_x^{\pm}|i,b\rangle = (-1)^{b \cdot x_i}|i,b\rangle$ for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $b \in \{0,1\}$ . Let  $Q^{\pm}(f)$  denote the corresponding query complexity of a function f, where  $O_x$  has been replaced with  $O_x^{\pm}$  in the model. Show that  $Q^{\pm}(f) = Q(f)$ .

Question 2. Construct a quantum algorithm that compute the 2-bit function  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \oplus x_2$ with 1 query. Conclude that  $Q(\text{PARITY}) \leq n/2$ .

We will see later in the course that  $Q(\text{PARITY}) = \Omega(n)$ . Currently, the hybrid method would only give  $Q(\text{PARITY}) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$ .

#### Problem 3 (Block sensitivity)

The block sensitivity bs(f) of a function  $f : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$  is the largest number k such that there exists an input  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  and k disjoint subsets  $B_1, \ldots, B_k \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}$  satisfying  $f(x^{B_j}) \neq f(x)$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , where  $x^{B_j} \in \{0,1\}^n$  is defined by  $x_i^{B_j} = 1 - x_i$  when  $i \in B_j$  and  $x_i^{B_j} = x_i$  otherwise.

Question 1. Compute bs(f) for the OR, AND, PARITY and MAJORITY functions.

**Question 2.** Show the lower bound  $R(f) = \Omega(bs(f))$  on the randomized query complexity.

**Question 3.** Use the hybrid method to show that  $Q(f) = \Omega(\sqrt{\operatorname{bs}(f)})$ .

The goal of the next questions is to upper bound the deterministic query complexity D(f) in terms of the block sensitivity.

Question 4.1. We say that  $B \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  is a minimal sensitive block for  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  if  $f(x^B) \neq f(x)$  and  $f(x^{B'}) = f(x)$  for all proper subsets  $B' \subsetneq B$ . Show that any minimal sensitive block B for x must satisfy  $f(x^B) \neq f(x^{B \setminus \{i\}})$  for all  $i \in B$  and conclude that  $|B| \leq bs(f)$ .

Question 4.2. We say that  $C \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  is a *certificate* for  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  if for all  $y \in \{0, 1\}^n$  that agrees with x on C (i.e.  $x_i = y_i$  for all  $i \in C$ ) we have f(x) = f(y). Show that for each x there exists some certificate  $C_x$  of size at most  $|C_x| \leq bs(f)^2$ .

Question 4.3. Let  $C^{(0)} = \{C_y : y \in \{0,1\}^n, f(y) = 0\}$  and  $C^{(1)} = \{C_y : y \in \{0,1\}^n, f(y) = 1\}$ . Consider the following algorithm:

repeat until  $C^{(0)} = \emptyset$  or  $C^{(1)} = \emptyset$ : choose any  $C_y \leftarrow C^{(0)}$ query  $x_i$  for all  $i \in C_y$ remove from  $C^{(0)}$  and  $C^{(1)}$  all the sets  $C_z$  where  $z_i \neq x_i$  for some  $i \in C_y$ if  $C^{(0)} = \emptyset$  then output 1 else output 0

Show that the algorithm outputs f(x) and terminates after at most  $bs(f)^2$  repetitions.

Question 4.4. Conclude that  $D(f) = O(bs(f)^4)$  and  $Q(f) \le D(f) = O(Q(f)^8)$  for any function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \to \{0, 1\}.$ 

0

One can improve the above arguments to show that  $^{1,2} D(f) = O(bs(f)^3)$  and  $D(f) = O(Q(f)^4)$ . It is a major open problem to show whether  $D(f) = O(bs(f)^2)$ .

These results do not hold for *partial* functions  $f : D \to \{0, 1\}$  whose domain is a proper subset  $D \subsetneq \{0, 1\}^n$ . In that case, the gap between D(f) and Q(f) can be exponential<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Quantum Lower Bounds by Polynomials". R. Beals, H. Buhrman, R. Cleve, M. Mosca, R. de Wolf. J. ACM, 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Degree vs. Approximate Degree and Quantum Implications of Huang's Sensitivity Theorem". S. Aaronson, S. Ben-David, R. Kothari, S. Rao, A. Tal. *Proc. of STOC*, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Forrelation: A Problem that Optimally Separates Quantum from Classical Computing". S. Aaronson, A. Ambainis. *SICOMP*, 2018.